

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,565	10/20/2000	William F. Brooks Jr.	40234/MEG/A484	7788
23363	7590 08/13/2003			
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			EXAMINER	
350 WEST C SUITE 500	OLORADO BOULEVA	RD	GORT, ELAINE L	
PASADENA, CA 91105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A Cardian Na				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/693,565	BROOKS JR. ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this account of the	Elaine Gort	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>23 June 2003</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
lf approved, солтесted drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu 	ments have been received.				
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in Appli	cation No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Off	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 09/693,565 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-10 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the method currently revised to incorporate "using a currency reader" is now directed to exemplary embodiments of a single invention. This is not found persuasive because the inventions remain distinct as the method could still be carried out, at least in part, by hand. For example, the determining of deposit information could still be carried out by hand even with the help of a currency reader; generating identifiers based on the accounting period could also be carried out by hand; and transmitting of information to an off-site location could also be carried out by hand. Additionally the claimed system could be used to carry out another materially different process such as inventory tracking, marketing research, etc... See prior office action for further details.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-10 are rejected because they lack patentable utility. Claims 1-9 only claim the manipulation of data but perform no concrete, useful or tangible result. This rejection could be overcome by including a positive recitation of a report being generated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In claim 7 it is unclear what further limitation is being claimed in regard to the sequence number and alternate sequence number and how this is carried out.

In claim 10 it is unclear what further limitation/utility is being claimed in regard to the sequence number and alternate sequence number being the same. It is unclear how these sequence numbers are established.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,565

Art Unit: 3627

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brooks, Jr. et al. (US Patent 6,067,530).

Brooks, Jr. et al. disclose the claimed cash control system comprising: a safe assembly (such as safe 24 which cashier's fed) with a currency reader; a central control unit configured to receive deposit information from the safe assembly and to generate and transmit deposit reports and transmit identifiers that distinguish deposit information accumulated during an accounting period before a predetermined event and deposit information accumulated during the accounting period after the predetermined event (system generates and transmits deposit reports with identifiers); and a cash information server configured to receive the deposit information and identifiers from the central control unit and to identify the amount of cash collected by the business establishment during the accounting period (deposit information is received for accounting use).

All other claimed limitations are either disclosed or inherent.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,565 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. In the event Brooks, Jr. et al. discussed above is found to not inherently include a networked computer system, claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brooks, Jr. et al. in view of Examiner's Official Notice.

Brooks, Jr. et al. discloses the claimed device but is silent regarding networked communication among all the parties involved with the deposit. Examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously old and well known in the art of data communication to utilize networked computer systems to produce low cost, accurate and speedy communication of data. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cash control system of Brooks, Jr. et al. with networked computers of Examiner's official notice, in order to provide low cost, accurate and speedy communication of the deposit data among the parties of interest.

Regarding the use of MICR, the use of MICR for electronically communicating data is old and well known in the art of data communication and it would have been obvious to use MICR to produce low cost, accurate and speedy communication.

All other claimed limitations are either disclosed or inherent.

Page 6

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (703)305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

10 0000

August 4, 2003

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Celh 0/11/03

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600